

~~ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

23 July 1982

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM:

Intelligence Branch, CRD

STAT

SUBJECT: Trip Report -- Visit to the Eisenhower  
and Truman Libraries, 13-25 June 1982comprised the CRD team making the second visit this fiscal year to the Eisenhower Library in Abilene, Kansas, and the Truman Library in Independence, Missouri, from 13-25 June. We spent 4½ working days at the Eisenhower and almost 5 working days at the Truman Library. We benefitted from the information reported by the earlier team in knowing what procedures to expect at the libraries. We shall not repeat here the detailed information on procedures given in the earlier report, which we also observed, but that report should be consulted for a full picture of the operation of the libraries. We should like to emphasize that like the other team we found the library staff members--in particular David Haight and James Leyerzapf at the Eisenhower, and Harry Clark at the Truman--most knowledgeable and helpful and appreciative of our review work.

STAT

Review at the Eisenhower Library

At the Eisenhower Library we examined in detail classified documents in the Records of C.D. Jackson, selected items in the Papers of C.D. Jackson, selected items from the White House Confidential Files, the Papers of John Foster Dulles, and a file of Weekly Summaries of NSC (National Security Council) Planning Board and OCB (Operations Coordination Board) Activities in the Records of the White House Staff Secretary. A few items from other collections were also reviewed. These documents had been screened by the library staff so that we had to review only those that the staff considered to be of CIA interest.

A statistical summary of our review at the Eisenhower is as follows:

Total Documents	Declassified Docs	%	No Objection to Declassification Docs	%	Hold Docs	%	Total Pages
733	25	3.4	583	79.5	125	17	2404

~~ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

~~ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

It should be noted that when the number of documents we declassified and the number of documents to which we indicated no CIA objection to declassification are combined, the result is 608 documents. This figure, which is 82.9% of the documents we reviewed at the Eisenhower, is the number of documents that will not have to be referred by the library to CIA Headquarters for review.

Not included in the above statistics is review of a special document at which the archivist asked us to have a look. This was the unclassified diary of James Haggerty (Eisenhower's press secretary) which has long been on the library's open shelves. [ ] spent a day reviewing this diary and found only a few pages contained information that might be considered sensitive. Since the diary has been available to researchers and has been quoted many times, it was decided that nothing should or could be done about this document.

STAT

Material Still To Be Reviewed at the Eisenhower

According to the classified archivist there, the following materials containing information of possible CIA interest remain to be reviewed at the Eisenhower Library:

(1) Processed series (screened for CIA interest): Office of the Special Assistant for National Security Affairs

- (a) Operations Coordination Board (OCB) Subject file--4 archival boxes (3,000 pages)
- (b) OCB Administrative file (includes OCB minutes)--4 archival boxes (3,000 pages)

(2) Unprocessed (as of the end of June 1982) National Security Council Records

- (a) Psychological Strategy Board (PSB) Central Files and related material -- 14 cubic feet
- (b) OCB Central Files (Minutes and other materials) -- 52 cubic feet
- (c) President's Committee on Informational Activities Abroad (Sprague Committee) -- 20 cubic feet
- (d) President's Committee on International Information Activities (Jackson Committee) -- 4 cubic feet

On the processed material, the archivist estimates that a reviewer will average doing 1 box per day. It is not possible to estimate a time for the unprocessed material listed in cubic feet. Thus it would take about four working days for a 2-person review team to cover the presently remaining processed material.

~~ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

~~ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

### Review at the Truman Library

At the Truman Library the CRD team reviewed the files of the Psychological Strategy Board (PSB), and some National Security Council files that included a number of CIA documents. Unlike the documents presented for our review at the Eisenhower Library which had been screened for CIA interest, these files had not been processed by the Truman Library staff. As a consequence the number of documents to which we had no objection to declassification is high since it includes those of no CIA interest that had been screened out at the Eisenhower. A statistical summary of our review at the Truman Library is as follows:

Total Documents Reviewed	Declassified Docs	%	No CIA Objection to Declassification Documents	%	Hold Docs	%	Total Pages
1154	127	11.0	829	71.8	198	17.2	4118

Although as is often the case with CIA documents, the number we declassified is relatively small, it should be noted that as in the Eisenhower review, when the number declassified and the number to which we indicated no CIA objection are combined, the figure is 956 document or 83% of the documents we reviewed at the Truman. This larger amount represents the saving for the Agency and the Library in documents that will not have to be referred to CIA Headquarters for review.

In addition to the materials enumerated above,  also reviewed several boxes of unnumbered Korean Daily Bulletins which he recommended for declassification, subject to the concurrence of the Department of State and Defense.

STAT

### Material Still To Be Reviewed at the Truman

According to the classified material archivist at the Truman Library, there are only 17 archival boxes of CIA interest remaining to be reviewed there; these contain records of the Psychological Strategy Board which CIA must review prior to NSC review. The archivist estimates that this remaining material could be reviewed by two CIA reviewers in seven days.

### Recommendations

The archivists at both libraries stated that they would find review of the remaining CIA documents very helpful. From the CIA viewpoint, additional review would be valuable in showing cooperation in protecting our equity while freeing documents that no longer need protection. As noted above, our review at the libraries cuts down on time spent in sending documents to CIA Headquarters for review under mandatory requests. We, therefore, recommend that another

**ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY**

~~ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

review team be scheduled to go as provided in the budget for the current fiscal year. Rather than dividing the team's time equally between the two libraries as in past trips, we recommend that the team go first to the Truman Library and finish the review of all remaining documents there, a job that could be accomplished in an estimated seven days. The team's remaining time in the usual two-week trip could be spent at the Eisenhower Library where the considerable amount of material remaining for review could not be accomplished in one trip.



STAT

Distribution:

(Orig - Liaison w/Presidential Libraries)

1 - Chrono

[ ] (23 July 1982)

STAT

**ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY**